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Methods and Results—Twelve human hearts were studied from transfusion-dependent patients after either death (heart failure, n=7; stroke, n=1) or transplantation for end-stage heart failure (n=4). After cardiovascular magnetic resonance T2* measurement, tissue iron concentration was measured in multiple samples of each heart with inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. Iron distribution throughout the heart showed no systematic variation between segments, but epicardial iron concentration was higher than in the endocardium. The mean±SD global myocardial iron concentration causing severe heart failure in 10 patients was 5.98±2.42 mg/g dry weight (range, 3.19 to 9.50 mg/g), but in 1 outlier case of heart failure was 25.9 mg/g dry weight. Myocardial ln[R2*] was strongly linearly correlated with ln[Fe] (R2=0.910, P<0.001), leading to [Fe]=45.0×(T2*)−1.22 for the clinical calibration equation with [Fe] in milligrams per gram dry weight and T2* in milliseconds. Midventricular septal iron concentration and R2* were both highly representative of mean global myocardial iron.

Conclusions—These data detail the iron distribution throughout the heart in iron overload and provide calibration in humans for cardiovascular magnetic resonance R2* against myocardial iron concentration. The iron values are of considerable interest in terms of the level of cardiac iron associated with iron-related death and indicate that the heart is more sensitive to iron loading than the liver. The results also validate the current clinical practice of monitoring cardiac iron in vivo by cardiovascular magnetic resonance of the midseptum. (Circulation. 2011;123:1519-1528.)
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relaxation parameter $T_2^*$ (and hence an increase in its reciprocal, $R_2^*$) owing to microscopic magnetic field inhomogeneity. Myocardial $T_2^*$ is an easily quantifiable, clinically robust, and highly reproducible measurement technique. Although the relationship between $T_2^*$ and cardiac iron can be inferred from first principles, animal models, and the liver data, there is limited direct information on cardiac calibration of $T_2^*$ in humans, and the inherent limitations associated with endomyocardial biopsy prevent in vivo calibration. We therefore initiated a project to calibrate cardiac $T_2^*$ against chemically assayed tissue iron concentration in ex vivo human hearts and to determine its cardiac distribution.
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Methods

Patient Characteristics

This project was started in 2003 and completed in 2010, during which time 12 whole human hearts were donated from 5 international centers: University College Hospital (London, United Kingdom), Ospedale Galleria (Genoa, Italy), Children’s Hospital of Los Angeles (United States), Azienda Ospedaliera Brotzu (Cagliari, Italy), and Mahidol University (Bangkok, Thailand). All patients were transfusion dependent (10 with $\beta$-thalassemia major, 1 with sideroblastic anemia, and 1 with Diamond-Blackfan anemia), most having required transfusions from early childhood. The hearts were donated after death or cardiac transplantation for end-stage heart failure. The study protocol was approved by all local research ethics committees, and local consent was obtained in all cases.

Imaging Protocol and Analysis

We used scientific convention in quoting $R_2^*$ to evaluate relaxation and its relation to iron, $R_2^*$ being the reciprocal of $T_2^*$. Scans performed on patients premortem are quoted as $T_2^*$. All hearts were fixed in formalin. Each heart was cut into 4 to 5 slices of 1-cm thickness in the ventricular short axis according to ventricular size. In the liver, $T_2^*$ correlates well with biopsy iron concentration. Although the relationship between $T_2^*$ and cardiac iron can be inferred from first principles, animal models, and the liver data, there is limited direct information on cardiac calibration of $T_2^*$ in humans, and the inherent limitations associated with endomyocardial biopsy prevent in vivo calibration. We therefore initiated a project to calibrate cardiac $T_2^*$ against chemically assayed tissue iron concentration in ex vivo human hearts and to determine its cardiac distribution.
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Table 1. Patient Characteristics and Clinical Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Death or Transplantation</th>
<th>Age at Death or Transplantation, y</th>
<th>Cause of Death or Indication for Transplantation</th>
<th>LV [Fe] (Mean±SD), mg/g dw</th>
<th>Variability of [Fe], CoV %</th>
<th>Global Myocardial T2* (Mean±SD), ms</th>
<th>Total Estimated Units Transfused</th>
<th>Age Started Chelation, y</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Ischemic stroke (no cardiac failure)</td>
<td>0.38±0.13</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>44.4±5.3</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>8.20±1.44</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>4.7±0.6</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>9.50±1.88</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.7±0.6</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>N/A†</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>25.9±10.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.0±0.4</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>7.74±1.51</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>3.6±0.5</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>3.63±0.82</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>8.0±1.0</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>3.38±0.53</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.7±1.2</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>5.87±1.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>4.4±0.6</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Transplant</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>8.79±1.88</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.9±0.6</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>5.64±1.36</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>5.8±1.4</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Cardiac failure</td>
<td>3.19±1.02</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>12.3±2.6</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Cardiac failure and pneumonia</td>
<td>3.91±0.86</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>8.8±1.8</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV indicates left ventricle; dw, dry weight; [Fe], myocardial iron concentration; CoV, coefficient of variation; TM, β-thalassemia major; SA, sideroblastic anemia; DBA, Diamond Blackfan anemia; and N/A, not applicable (patients 3 and 4 did not receive iron chelation therapy).

*Died in 1964.
†Died in 1972.

anatomy and wall segmentation for colocalization of R2* and chemically assayed iron concentration.

For the measurement of R2*, each slice was analyzed with 18 regions of interest (ROIs) of 6 radial sectors of 60° each and 3 layers: outer (epicardial), inner (endocardial), and intermediate (mesocar-dial; Figure 1D). The attachment of the right ventricular (RV) wall to the left ventricle (LV) was used to define the septum. Twenty R2* was measured from each ROI with dedicated software (Thalassaemia Tools, Cardiovascular Imaging Solutions, London, UK) using a truncation model to account for background noise, as has been validated previously (Figure 2). Analysis of the 12 echo sequence was required only for heart 4, which was extremely heavily iron loaded (R2*, 500 seconds⁻¹; T2* 2.0 milliseconds). In all other cases, the 16-echo sequence was used for analysis.

Quantification of Myocardial Iron

After R2* cardiac MR was completed, each short-axis slice was cut into 6 sectors of 60° each, and then each sector was subdivided into 3 transmural layers (18 LV samples; Figure 1E). For each short-axis slice, 2 samples were also taken from the RV free wall (20 myocardial samples per slice). Additional samples were taken from the right (3) and left (3) atria, the interatrial septum (1), and each of the valves (4). For the LV myocardial R2* calibration analysis, all LV samples were directly compared with the cardiac MR R2* scan. For the segmental analysis of the distribution of myocardial iron, we used the American Heart Association/American College of Cardi-ology 16-segment model. Twenty myocardial slices from each heart were used for this analysis: the midventricular, apical, and basal slices, as per the model. Each segment comprised the full transmural extent of myocardium. To match the apical slice to 4 segments as dictated in the 16-segment model, the 2 apical-septal sectors were analyzed together and the 2 apical-lateral sectors were analyzed together. The wet weight of each piece of tissue was recorded after excision formalin was discarded. Samples were then freeze-dried, and the dry weight (dw) was recorded immediately after removal from the lyophilizer. After acid digestion, iron measurement was performed with inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. The iron concentrations in samples of NIST human liver standard 4352 were used as quality controls for inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy analysis.

Effect of Time in Formalin Fixation on R2*

Measurement and Iron Concentration

Because the fixed hearts had been stored for various lengths of time, the effect of formalin on MR relaxation and iron loss over time was studied. One myocardial slice was analyzed at repeated intervals up to a total of 566 days (12 scans in total). Myocardial tissue from an apical slice not included in the segmental analysis was used to assess whether there was any leaching of iron from the myocardium into formalin over time. This 7.35-g sample was preserved in 280 mL of 10% neutral buffered formalin (pH 7.0). At repeated intervals up to 600 days, small (1 mL) aliquots of formalin were taken for analysis of the iron content of the solution. The initial iron concentration in this tissue sample was estimated from immediately adjacent areas of myocardium in the same apical slice.

Premortem and Postmortem Scans

Three of the patients had clinical T2* scans shortly before death on the same scanner (Sonata) used for the ex vivo scanning. Imaging was performed with a single-breath-hold 8-echo T2* sequence, as previously described (range of echo times, 2.6 to 16.74 milliseconds; slice thickness, 10 mm; flip angle, 20°; matrix, 128×256 pixels; field of view, 400 mm; and sampling bandwidth, 815 Hz per pixel). The anatomic appearance of the slice (papillary muscle position, trabecular pattern, and distance from apex) was used to achieve as precise a correlation as possible between premortem and postmortem scans, allowing direct comparison of T2* values. Two midventricular short-axis slices were imaged, which gave 12 myocardial ROIs for comparison (2 slices, 2 septal sectors, and 3 transmural layers).

Statistics

For each distribution analysis, 1 ROI was defined as the reference region, and differences in tissue iron content throughout the heart against this region were assessed with mixed-model linear regression. The null hypothesis was that there was no difference in iron concentration between segments, layers, or slices. For each analysis, the ROIs were entered as fixed effects so that differences between regions could be estimated. Patients were entered as random effects because testing for differences between individual patients was not of interest. To account for the repeated measurements from each heart, all samples from each individual heart were nested for analysis. R2* was compared with iron concentration by linear regression. The degree of heterogeneity of iron concentration and R2* for each heart was evaluated by calculating the coefficient of variation of iron concentration across all samples. All data were analyzed with STATA version 10.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX). Mixed-model linear regression analysis was performed with the "xtmixed" command within STATA. The "regress" command was used for standard linear regression. A value of P<0.05 was used to define a significant difference.
Results

Patient Characteristics
Twelve hearts were donated, 8 from patients who died and 4 from patients undergoing cardiac transplantation for end-stage heart failure. End-stage heart failure was the cause of death in 7 of the 8 patients who died, but 1 patient died of an ischemic stroke at 46 years of age with a history of excellent compliance to chelation therapy and no cardiac complications (apart from a transient episode of atrial fibrillation at 21 years of age). Table 1 summarizes the clinical information for each patient.

Premortem and Postmortem Scans
Three patients underwent both premortem and postmortem T2* scans. The mean±SD time between cardiac MR scan and death was 26±13.3 days (range, 11 to 35). There was no significant difference in T2* between scans. T2* premortem was 4.89±1.30, 6.01±0.81, and 10.87±2.34 milliseconds versus 5.21±0.43 milliseconds (P=0.28), 5.94±0.90 milliseconds (P=0.84), and 9.20±2.22 milliseconds (P=0.21) postmortem for pairwise comparison of the septal ROIs in hearts 2, 10, and 11, respectively (Figure 3A through 3C).

Effect of Time in Formalin on R2* Measurement
For the single short-axis slice that was scanned at repeated intervals, there was no significant change in R2* value, even after 18 months’ storage in formalin (Figure 3D). Mean R2* for all sectors was 198.4±21.5 seconds⁻¹ at day 6 and 197.7±7.3 seconds⁻¹ at day 566 (P=0.93).

Loss of Iron From Stored Myocardial Samples
The iron content of the formalin solution in which a piece of myocardial tissue had been stored over a period of 600 days is shown in Figure 3E. The average iron concentration of adjacent myocardial tissue was 1.21 mg/g wet weight, corresponding to a total of 8.91 mg iron in the 7.35-g wet weight sample. The iron concentration of the solution increased rapidly over the first 30 days after formalin fixation with a further subsequent rise, but thereafter stabilized to reach a plateau of 1480 μg/L after 300 days, equating to a loss of 135 μg (~1.5%) of the total iron from the myocardial tissue.

Myocardial Iron Samples: Overall Summary
The mean±SD weight of all samples in the iron analysis was 1001±620 mg. Iron concentration in all samples ranged from 0.09 to 78.64 mg/g dw. The myocardial iron concentration ranged from 0.18 to 53.4 mg/g dw. The mean±SD ratio of dry to wet iron concentration in the myocardium was 5.04±0.9 and was independent of iron concentration. One heart had exceptionally high levels of iron, and in view of the possibility that this heart could bias the results, all further analysis is presented separately for this outlier heart. The coefficient of variation of iron for myocardial samples ranged from 9.2% to 26.6% per heart with a mean of 15.2% (Table 1).

Figure 3. Premortem and postmortem scans. A premortem midventricular slice (A) and the corresponding postmortem slice (B) of the same heart at the same position. C, Relationship between premortem and postmortem T2* values measured from corresponding regions of interest in the midventricular septum. Error bars represent SDs, and the line of identity is given. D, R2* values measured from a single myocardial slice stored in formalin over a prolonged duration showing no significant change in R2*. The dashed line represents mean R2* (194.4 seconds⁻¹), and error bars are ±SD. E, Iron content of the formalin solution in which a piece of myocardial tissue was stored, showing an initial rapid increase in iron that reaches a plateau after the first 300 days. There is an early and a late plateau, possibly suggesting a 2-stage process of iron release; however, no further iron appears to be lost after the first 300 days. Note that T2* (decay constant in milliseconds) is in widespread use for clinical iron assessment and is shown for the patient scan results but that R2* (transverse relaxation rate in seconds⁻¹) has been used to compare measurements in postmortem hearts because it has a more linear relation to iron concentration.
Distribution of LV Iron

With all hearts considered together (except for heart 4, the most heavily iron-loaded heart), a gradient of iron was found in the transmural layers of the LV myocardium with the greatest concentration in the epicardium, an intermediate level in the mesocardium (−1.1%; P=0.63), and the lowest level in the endocardium (−7.5%; P=0.001; Figure 4A and Table 2). No statistically significant systematic variations in iron concentration were observed between the different AHA segments. To examine for variation in larger regions of the LV, an analysis was also performed of variation in iron concentration of whole walls of the LV (inferoseptal, anteroseptal, anterior, anterolateral, inferolateral, and inferior) encompassing all segments from base to apex, which again showed no significant systematic variation of mean iron concentration. A further analysis comparing the whole basal, midventricular, and apical segments also failed to show any significant systematic variation in mean iron concentration. The most heavily iron-loaded heart (heart 4) had very high iron concentration in the epicardium (36.1 mg/g dw), with an intermediate level in the endocardium (−28.0%) and the lowest level in the mesocardium (−54.7%; Figure 4A and Table 2). There was no systematic variation in mean iron concentration between the different LV segments or from base to apex.

Séptal Iron Compared With Global Cardiac Iron

Iron concentration measured in the midseptal slice was highly representative of global iron (Figure 4B). Regression analysis showed a slope indistinguishable from 1 (1.06; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.83 to 1.29) and an intercept indistinguishable from 0 (0.04; 95% CI, −1.57 to 1.66). The mean±SD percentage difference between midseptal and global iron concentration was 10.5±13.4%.

Distribution of Iron in the RV, Atria, and Valves

Excluding the most heavily iron-loaded heart (heart 4), all RV, atrial, and valve samples showed lower iron levels compared with global LV iron (apart from the anterior left atrial wall where only a borderline difference was observed). The RV wall had up to 21.9% less iron (P<0.001). Compared with the mean global LV iron concentration, the left atrial iron concentration was up to 28.1% lower (P<0.001) and right atrial iron was up to 57.0% lower (P<0.001). All 4 cardiac valves showed low iron concentrations that were up to 74.4% lower than in the LV (P<0.001). Heart 4 showed a consistently higher level of iron in the atrial and valve samples but no difference between LV and RV iron (Table 3).

Relation of R2* to Tissue Iron Concentration

Because of the very high level of iron in the most severely overloaded heart (heart 4), measurement of R2* might be underestimated. Therefore, the results of the MR relaxation calibration are presented twice, first including and then excluding this heart. A total of 1006 tissue samples and their corresponding ROIs were used for iron and R2* assessment, with 84 ROIs (8.3%) being excluded from the R2* analysis because of imaging artifact caused predominantly by cuts made at autopsy. A curvilinear relation between R2* and cardiac iron concentration was observed (Figure 5A and 5B). A similar curvilinear relation was shown when mean global whole-heart iron was plotted against R2* (Figure 5C and 5D). The strongest linear correlation was found (all hearts) by plotting lnR2* and ln[Fe] (R2=0.910, P<0.001) with a slope of 0.754 [95% CI, 0.737 to 0.772]; intercept, 3.896 [95% CI, 3.855 to 3.913)]. Using this calibration in all hearts, we can express the relation between R2* and tissue iron concentration as

\[ \text{R2*} = \frac{\text{lnR2*}}{0.754} + 3.896 \]

Also, it can be observed that the mean R2* of the septal slice was highly representative of whole-heart mean R2* (Figure 3F). Regression analysis showed a slope indistinguishable from 1 (0.97; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.13) and an intercept indistinguishable from 0 (0.33; 95% CI, −0.73 to 1.38). The mean±SD percentage difference between midseptal and global R2* was 2.8±7.3%. The relation of septal R2* and T2* with whole-heart iron concentration is shown in Figure 6.
Table 2. Distribution of Iron Throughout the Left Ventricular Myocardium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LV Region of interest</th>
<th>All Hearts (Excluding Heart 4)</th>
<th>Heart 4 Alone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Fe], mg/g</td>
<td>Lower 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicardium (reference)</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesocardium (∆)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocardium (∆)</td>
<td>−0.46</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global LV (reference)</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Basal                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 1 Ant (∆)            | −0.37 | −1.30 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 1.12 | 1.06 |
| 2 Ant-sep (∆)        | 0.09 | −0.84 | 1.02 | 0.85 | −7.31 | 3.05 |
| 3 Inf-sep (∆)        | −0.27 | −1.20 | 0.66 | 0.57 | −6.59 | −6.73 |
| 4 Inf (∆)            | −0.41 | −1.34 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 5.26 | 2.23 |
| 5 Inf-lat (∆)        | −0.14 | −1.07 | 0.79 | 0.77 | −4.09 | −4.09 |
| 6 Ant-lat (∆)        | 0.38 | −0.55 | 1.31 | 0.43 | −2.99 | −2.99 |

| Mid                   |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 7 Ant (∆)            | 0.03 | −0.90 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 4.39 | 4.39 |
| 8 Ant-sep (∆)        | 0.50 | −0.43 | 1.43 | 0.29 | −1.33 | 1.37 |
| 9 Inf-sep (∆)        | 0.59 | −0.34 | 1.52 | 0.22 | −0.67 | −0.67 |
| 10 Inf (∆)           | −0.01 | −0.94 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 2.23 | 2.23 |
| 11 Inf-lat (∆)       | 0.02 | −0.91 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| 12 Ant-lat (∆)       | 0.43 | −0.50 | 1.36 | 0.37 | 3.69 | 3.69 |

| Apical               |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 13 Ant (∆)           | 0.08 | −0.85 | 1.01 | 0.87 | 4.88 | 4.88 |
| 14 Sep (∆)           | −0.29 | −1.22 | 0.64 | 0.54 | −4.43 | −4.43 |
| 15 Inf (∆)           | −0.12 | −1.05 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 8.57 | 8.57 |
| 16 Lat (∆)           | −0.50 | −1.43 | 0.43 | 0.29 | 3.69 | 3.69 |

| Global LV (reference) | 5.99 | 4.28 | 7.71 | Ref | 26.15 | 23.31 | 28.99 | Ref |
| Anterior (∆)         | −0.07 | −0.93 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 3.46 | −5.30 | 12.23 | 0.39 |
| Anterolateral (∆)    | 0.16 | −0.70 | 1.01 | 0.72 | −0.36 | −8.30 | 7.58 | 0.93 |
| Inferolateral (∆)    | −0.06 | −0.91 | 0.80 | 0.89 | 1.56 | −6.38 | 9.50 | 0.69 |
| Inferior (∆)         | −0.16 | −1.02 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 4.39 | −3.31 | 12.09 | 0.26 |
| Inferoseptal (∆)     | −0.06 | −0.92 | 0.79 | 0.89 | −3.93 | −7.39 | −0.48 | 0.28 |
| Anteroseptal (∆)     | 0.20 | −0.66 | 1.05 | 0.65 | −5.11 | −8.29 | −1.93 | 0.16 |
| Apical (∆)           | 0.27 | −0.55 | 1.10 | 0.51 | 1.99 | −4.41 | 8.40 | 0.49 |
| Mid (∆)              | −0.17 | −1.00 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.44 | −7.18 | 8.06 | 0.88 |
| Basal (∆)            | −0.11 | −0.93 | 0.72 | 0.80 | −2.43 | −9.30 | 4.44 | 0.40 |

LV indicates left ventricular; CI, confidence interval; and [Fe], myocardial iron concentration. The differences in iron concentration (∆) are compared against the mean [Fe] dry weight for a reference (ref) region. American Heart Association segments are numbered and labeled as follows: ant, anterior; sep, septum; lat, lateral; and inf, inferior.

**Discussion**

This is the first report of a series of human hearts that have undergone both MR relaxometry and quantitative iron studies for distribution and calibration purposes. The results of the distribution analysis show no systematic variation of iron concentration between all 16 myocardial segments, the 6 myocardial walls, and the 3 short-axis slices from base to apex. However, systematically higher iron concentration was present in the epicardium. The midventricular septal iron concentration was highly representative of global iron concentration, and midventricular septal R2* was highly representative of global R2*.

Studies dating from the mid-1950s have assessed myocardial iron loading in postmortem human hearts using various techniques, including histological grading of iron-positive myocytes, electron microscopy, and analysis of elemental iron by flameless atomic absorption spectroscopy. Our results are in broad agreement with the previously published studies, which have observed a similar transmural gradient of myocardial iron. Two autopsy studies have attempted to quantify regional variation, but no definite pattern has emerged, and this is the first comprehensive study of regional variation.

Cardiac MR studies have reported that some β-thalassemia major patients have a heterogeneous pattern of cardiac iron distribution as measured by in vivo T2*, although this heterogeneity lessens with increased iron concentration. Cardiac T2* in vivo, however, may be confounded by a number of factors, including magnetic susceptibility artifact and measurement error. In view of the problems with artifact in vivo, it has been recommended that the measurement of T2* be restricted to a full-thickness septal ROI. There has been debate recently about the use of this septal ROI for predicting whole-heart iron, a full-thickness septal ROI. There has been debate recently about the use of this septal ROI for predicting whole-heart iron, but our results validate this approach given that both iron and R2* measured in the midseptum are highly representative of their respective global myocardial value.

The results of the calibration analysis of myocardial MR relaxometry against absolute iron concentration are of considerable clinical interest. Heart R2* provided a robust (R2=0.910) calibration against chemically assayed cardiac...
iron in the present study. Our statistical analysis demonstrated that this relationship was curvilinear. Previous investigators have described a linear relationship between R2* and iron concentration, but these studies had fewer observations and a more limited range of measurement. Although R2* underestimation may occur at high iron concentrations if initial echo times are too long, a minimum echo time of 1.2 milliseconds should have been adequate to capture even the most heavily loaded samples. In addition, we found little calibration differences if heart 4 was included or excluded. For clinical purposes, for which T2* is typically the result quoted for patients with suspected cardiac iron overload, the calibration equation can be rewritten using $T_2^* = 1000/R_2^*$ when the units of $T_2^*$ are expressed in milliseconds and $R_2^*$ in seconds$^{-1}$: $[\text{Fe}] = 45.0 \times (T_2^*)^{-1}$.12

Although direct comparison across species should be done with caution, our results are comparable to $R_2^*$ measurements in a gerbil model of severe iron loading in which tissue iron concentration was measured from fresh, unfixed tissue.16 Proton relaxivity is known to be temperature dependent, and in phantom studies, T2* falls by up to 1.5% per 1°C.31 We scanned all hearts at a constant 37°C, which may account for the consistency of our premortem and postmortem heart measurements. The hearts in this study had been stored in formalin, and there was concern that this could affect the measurement of T2* and iron concentration. In agreement with previous work, we found only minor leaching of iron from myocardial tissue into the formalin solution and very little variation in the measured T2* over time.32

The iron values obtained from our study are of considerable interest in terms of the level of cardiac iron concentration that is associated with iron-related death and cardiac disease, an issue that has hitherto been little explored. One previous study reported the normal myocardial iron concentration to be 0.34 mg/g dw (range, 0.29 to 0.47 mg/g dw).33 The 1 heart we studied from a patient who died of a stroke with no evidence of heart disease and a normal myocardial T2* had a global iron concentration of 0.38 mg/g dw, which is in good agreement with the previous study. Of 10 hearts with similar ranges of myocardial siderosis, the mean global myocardial iron causing severe heart failure was 5.98±2.42 mg/g dw (range, 3.19 to 9.50 mg/g dw), but in 1 outlier case of heart failure, myocardial iron was 25.9 mg/g dw. The values seen in the 10 hearts are rather modest compared with iron levels commonly seen in the liver in β-thalassemia major, indicating that the heart is considerably more sensitive to iron loading than the liver in terms of functional consequences. The outlier heart had 5 times the iron concentration compared with the mean value of the other hearts, which was a totally unexpected finding. The explanation for this tolerance to myocardial iron can only be speculative, but may have been genetically mediated.

Also of interest is the myocardial T2* value in relation to the development of heart failure and death. Previous ranges for T2* in vivo have been derived solely from clinical observations. In normal volunteers with no history of blood transfusion or iron overload, the first article by Anderson and coworkers1 on myocardial T2* found a mean normal value of 52 milliseconds, which yields a myocardial iron concentration of 0.36 mg/g dw from our calibration. More recently, a normal mean value of 40 milliseconds has been widely used, which equates to 0.50 mg/g dw. These values accord well with the previous published normal values for myocardial
iron\textsuperscript{33} and with the global myocardial T2* value (44.4 milliseconds) of 1 patient in our study who died of a stroke. The myocardial T2* values associated with being below the normal range are typically 20 milliseconds (1.1 mg/g dw), and as T2* falls below 10 milliseconds (2.7 mg/g dw), there is a progressive decline in both LV and RV ejection fractions.\textsuperscript{8,34} The vast majority of patients who present with heart failure caused by cardiac iron overload have T2* 10 milliseconds, and low T2* values are powerful independent predictors of the subsequent development of cardiac failure.\textsuperscript{35} All but one of the hearts in this study were from patients who either had died of heart failure or had undergone cardiac transplantation for end-stage heart failure. In keeping with clinical experience, the mean myocardial T2* was 5.9 3.0 milliseconds (range, 2.0 to 12.3 milliseconds).

Limitations
This project has required international collaboration between specialist centers and could not have been performed with fresh, unfixed hearts, owing to regulations on transport of human tissue between sites. The fact that death resulting from cardiac failure is almost always restricted to patients with severe cardiac iron loading (T2* <10 milliseconds) is reflected in the range of T2* values. Although there is no guarantee that in vivo myocardial T2* and ex vivo myocardial T2* are the same, the data are supportive with good agreement between premortem and postmortem T2* values in 3 hearts. Some hearts had been stored in formalin over extended periods, but our data suggest that there is no significant change in T2* over time and only a small amount of leaching of iron into the formalin solution. Although every effort was made to correlate ROIs used for R2* measurement with the tissue blocks analyzed for iron concentration, it is not possible to eliminate disparity completely. There are difficulties with R2* measurement in heavily iron-loaded tissue in which signal decay is rapid, requiring very short echo times. Scanner hardware imposes constraints on minimum echo spacing, which may be inadequate for accurate R2* measure-

Figure 5. Calibration of cardiac magnetic resonance vs myocardial iron concentration. A, R2* plotted vs myocardial iron concentration measured from each myocardial region of interest (ROI). The regression (solid line) and 95% confidence bands (dotted lines) are shown and derived from analysis of the log-log data shown in E. B, R2* vs myocardial iron concentration excluding heart 4. The regression (solid line) and 95% confidence bands (dotted lines) are shown and derived from analysis of the log-log data shown in E. C, Mean R2* plotted vs mean iron concentration for each heart. The regression (solid line) is derived from analysis of the log-log data shown in E. D, Mean R2* vs mean iron concentration excluding heart 4. The regression (solid line) is derived from analysis of the log-log data shown in E. E, ln(R2*) plotted vs ln([Fe]) for all ROIs, including heart 4, showing the best-fit linear regression line. F, Mean midseptal R2* vs mean whole-heart R2* plotted against the line of identity showing that the septal R2* value is highly representative of the whole-heart R2* (only 11 hearts are shown because severe artifact prevented analysis of midseptal T2* in 1 heart).
The results of the present study validate the clinical technique in current use and provide detailed calibration and distribution data in humans.
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**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

Measurement of myocardial iron is key to the clinical management of patients at risk of iron-overload cardiomyopathy, which is a major killer in transfusion-dependent patients and others with errors of iron metabolism. This applies especially to the large cohort of β-thalassemia major patients, in whom iron accumulation leads to damage in the liver, heart, and endocrine organs. Myocardial iron is assessed clinically with the cardiovascular magnetic resonance relaxation parameter T2*. This study describes the calibration of cardiovascular magnetic resonance relaxation against human iron concentration and the iron distribution throughout the heart under conditions of iron overload. A strong correlation was observed between cardiovascular magnetic resonance relaxation measurements and biochemically derived tissue iron concentration in 12 postmortem human hearts from transfusion-dependent patients, leading to a calibration equation of \( [Fe] = 45.0 \times (T2^*)^{-1.22} \), where \([Fe]\) is measured in milligrams per gram dry weight and \(T2^*\) is measured in milliseconds. There was no systematic variation iron concentration throughout the heart, but higher iron levels were found in the epicardium than in the endocardium. The data also show that cardiovascular magnetic resonance measurements in the midventricular septum are very representative of whole-heart iron concentration, which validates the current clinical approach in widespread use. These data show that \(T2^*\) cardiovascular magnetic resonance can be used to measure myocardial iron and validate its use as an end point in clinical trials for the improvement of efficacy of cardiac iron chelation treatment to prevent heart failure and death. This will significantly affect the health care of the many thousands of transfusion-dependent patients worldwide.
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